

I'On Assembly Board of Trustees Meeting

February 27, 2017

Members Present: Jody McAuley, Chad Besenfelder, Lori Bate, Matt Walsh, Karen Dillard, Michael Purcell

Members Absent: Tom O'Brien, Paul Gillis

SCS: Mike Parades, Lesley Ramey

Non-Resident Guest: Shawn Willis, I'On Assembly Legal Counsel

Guests: Ron Follmann, 93 N Shelmore Blvd.; Antonia Fokas, 34 Fernandina St.; Shannon Frisch, 11 Perseverance St.; Dan Stuchlak, 28 Prescient St.; Janet Stuchlak, 28 Prescient St.; Mary Kaplan, 46 Hospitality St.; Fred White, 46 Hospitality St.; Larry Taylor, 35 Isle of Hope Rd.; Bill Degner, 20 Prescient St.; Marilyn Colen, 33 Frogmore Rd.; Bebe Coyle, 213 Ponsbury Rd.; Dennis Coyle, 213 Ponsbury Rd.; John Bigler, 11 LeeAnn Ln.; David Williams, 17 Prescient St.; Carol Williams, 17 Prescient St.; David Fernandez, 61 Eastlake Rd.; Sally Little, 108 Civitas St.; Diana Medlin, 106 W Shipyard Rd.; George Perrota, 106 W Shipyard Rd.; Michael Korizno, 121 W Shipyard Rd.; Amanda Williams, 176 Civitas St.; Jane Milner, 102 Latitude Ln.; Betty Haynes, 62 Sowell St.; Welsey Haynes, 62 Sowell St.; Marybeth Maroulis, 107 Ionsborough St.; George Maroulis, 107 Ionsborough St.; Ken Shortridge, 15 McDaniel Ln.; Steve Degnen, 26 Fairhope Rd.; Bill Raver, 97 N Shelmore Blvd.; Sally Raver, 97 N Shelmore Blvd.; Ruthie Soliday, 76 Sowell St.; Steve Lilly, 76 Sowell St.; Robert Lilly, 76 Sowell St.; Lee Zvakos, 137 Ponsbury St.; Leslie Hartley, 149 Ponsbury Rd.; Michelle McQuillan, 194 N Shelmore Blvd.; Ward Reynolds, 11 Prescient St.; Robert Murphy, 18 Port Royal Rd.; Debbie Murphy, 18 Port Royal Rd.; Chloe McAuley, 94 Ponsbury Rd.; LaVon McNaughton, 137 Jake's Ln.; Rick McNaughton, 137 Jake's Ln.; William Gangi, 63 Latitude Ln.; Jayne Eastman, 63 Latitude Ln.; Amanda Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd.; Max Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd.; David Wright, 52 Rialto Rd.; Beverly Burkett, 73 Ponsbury Rd.; John Raeside, 51 Rialto Rd.; Catherine Raeside, 51 Rialto Rd.; Michael Mitaro, 74 Sowell St.; Eleanor Chambliss, 72 Sowell St.; Edward Ball, 29 Eastlake Rd.; Trey Mathisen, 146 N Shelmore Blvd.; Caroline Johnson, 39 Isle of Hope Rd.; Harry Johnson, 39 Isle of Hope Rd.; Clayton Taylor, 56 Eastlake Rd.; Phil Ayers,

46 Isle of Hope Rd.; Adam Young, 221 Ponsbury Rd.; Kelley Young, 221 Ponsbury Rd.; Steven Reydel, 37 Eastlake Rd.; Trish Reydel, 37 Eastlake Rd.; Jennifer Falconer, 154 Ionsborough St.; Kimberly Moss, 193 N Shelmore Blvd.; Tristi Lowther, 21 Prescient St.; Jennifer Welham, 51 Robert Mills Circle; Janet Harper, 57 Ponsbury Rd.; Cheri Yates, 38 Eastlake Rd.; Sherrod Allen, 42 Eastlake Rd.; Bill Allen, 42 Eastlake Rd.; Skip Runge, 19 Eastlake Rd.; Jeb Kelley, 66 Sanibel Rd.; Dennis Laabs, 217 Ponsbury Rd.; Stephen Christiansen, 59 Eastlake Rd.; Francine Christiansen, 59 Eastlake. Rd.

Homeowner Forum:

Jody McAuley, Board President, opened the Homeowners' Forum by thanking residents for coming out and letting their voices be heard. Jody McAuley asked that residents with questions not related to the Sports Court be allowed to speak first, as it seemed many residents present were at the forum to speak on that issue. Jody McAuley also asked that residents state their name and address and stand before making their comments, so the record could accurately reflect speakers and all could hear. Board Members would do a brief introduction prior to the forum beginning.

Michael Purcell, Lori Bate, Jody McAuley, Karen Dillard, Chad Besenfelder, and Matt Walsh all stated their names, addresses, and respective committees and/or roles on the Board.

Diana Medlin, 106 W. Shipyard Rd., inquired about the path closure on Westlake. Jody McAuley informed the residents that the path was blocked, because a home on Fernandina St. had loose terracotta tiles that were sliding off. There was concern about the tiles hitting someone and closed that portion of the path for safety. Diana Medlin stated she would prefer signs cautioning residents be used instead of a path closure. Diana Medlin felt it was a significant inconvenience for homeowners. Jody McAuley stated the Board had consulted legal counsel and decided path closure was the best course of action for the time.

Someone inquired how long the path would be closed and if other options were considered. Michael Purcell, Chair of the Landscape and Infrastructure Committee, stated the Board was monitoring the situation and adjusting appropriately. Other options were considered but it was decided this was the

best course of action. Michael Purcell encouraged homeowners to contact the HOA office with concerns.

Fred White, 62 Hospitality St., expressed concern the Assembly was not leaning on the homeowner to address the problem quickly. Mr. White also voiced concern this opened the Assembly up to liability suits. Michael Purcell informed residents the Board was working closely with the homeowner.

Richard Bennett, 14 Fernandina St., read a statement regarding the need to close a portion of Westlake Path. Mr. Bennett stated the Town of Mount Pleasant had informed him the house's roof was in violation in January 2017. Loose tiles were falling onto the pathway and the homeowners used the HOA to close the path from the front of their house to the waterfront. There were concerns that blocking only a portion of the path and navigating folks to use the grassy area would present additional safety concerns. The homeowners are working to make repairs as soon as is practical.

Michael Korizno, 121 W Shipyard Rd., asked if there was a timeline in place. Mr. Bennett, 14 Fernandina St., stated the repairs would be done as soon as practical. Mr. Korizno asked about a specific date. Mr. Bennett did not have one.

Dan Stuchlak, 28 Prescient St., asked for the Board to provide a synopsis of the Sports Court project thus far including design, costs, subcommittee efforts, etc.

Matt Walsh, Chair of the Amenities Committee, informed the residents that the transfer fee voted in by 75% of Titleholders in 2009 was created to fund I'On Reserves. Excess funds from the transfer fee would go into a Capital Projects fund designed to improve existing amenities and create new ones at the Board's discretion. In January 2016, the then-Board completed an Amenities survey to discover what new or improved amenities the Community wanted. The Sports Court was in the top 3 and the subcommittee was commissioned to investigate the project. The amenities area on Eastlake was selected as the location and the subcommittee suggests the sports court go into the back corner of the space. This is the furthest away from any I'On homes.

Someone present asked if the Eastlake Amenities Area was the only location considered by the Board.

Matt Walsh stated it was not. The current Board recently went to look at other potential locations for the Sports Court and found there was not another good location. Matt Walsh asked Michelle Sinkler, co-chair of the Sports Court subcommittee to discuss the project. Michelle Sinkler, 10 Saturday Rd., stated the survey was specific about the location of the Sports Court. The scope of the subcommittee's work was to find out if a Sports Court could work in the Amenities Area. Before the Amenities Survey, Michelle Sinkler understands the Board considered several other locations. When questions were raised recently, the Board reviewed other potential locations again. Matt Walsh confirmed that the current Board had investigated alternative locations.

Ruthie Soliday, 76 Sowell St., asked if any traffic impact studies had been done. Matt Walsh stated no traffic impact studies had been done. Ms. Soliday asked if a traffic impact study could be done. Matt Walsh agreed to take that into consideration.

Amanda Williams, 176 Civitas St., expressed her concern that the survey did not properly convey that it would be used to commission these projects and asked another survey be done.

Amanda Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd., agreed with Ms. Williams sentiments. Ms. Steinhardt felt it was not made clear to residents that the survey would absolutely determine the amenities projects the Board would pursue or what their costs were.

Matt Walsh asked if Karen Dillard, Communications Chair had any comments on the survey. Karen Dillard, Chair of the Communications Committee, stated she had joined the Board after survey was written and designed. Someone asked if the results were provided. Karen Dillard confirmed they were. The results will be set out to the community again.

Jayne Eastman, 63 Latitude Lane, sent in her comments to the Board prior to the meeting. However, Ms. Eastman wished to add that she and her partner took the Amenities Survey despite it being one response per household. Ms. Eastman felt the survey was for a general assessment of interest in the concepts presented not a mandate to do the projects.

Jody McAuley reiterated that 75% of the neighborhood had voted for the transfer fee to charge 15 basis points on the sale of any property and have a piece of the funds from that go into the amenities. Under that amendment, the

voting class at the time voted to allow the Board to use excess transfer fees to make additions to or enhancements to the commons. These excess transfer fees have grown over the years. The 2016 Board sent out the survey to find out what the community wanted and create 3 subcommittees to investigate & present plans to the Board for voting.

John Bigler, 15 LeeAnn Ln., stated when he voted for the transfer fee, his understanding was the transfer fee was for reducing Annual Assessments. The amendment did not require excess fees to be spent on amenities. Mr. Bigler believes that when most community members took the amenities survey, they did not recognize the costs vs the benefits. Mr. Bigler also believes there is currently no good place for a Sports Court in the community. If the Board moves forward, they should buy property to put the Sports Court.

Ron Follmann, 93 N. Shelmore Blvd., noted the transfer fees amendment does not define what making additions or enhancements means. Mr. Follmann believes residents are operating under a different definition than the Board on what that means.

Mark Maguire, 23 Unwin Way, expressed concern the Sports Court would essentially become a community recreation center. Mr. Maguire felt the community should not be spending money on an amenity their families won't use and that cannot be policed. Mr. Maguire is very concerned about non-I'On residents coming into the neighborhood to use the Sports Court.

Dennis Laabs, 217 Ponsbury Rd., thanked the Board for their service to their community. Mr. Laabs stated the community was most in need of a community center and could not support a Sports Court until that community need is met.

Mike Mitaro, 74 Sowell St., suggested a referendum be held on the issue.

Caroline Johnson, 39 Isle of Hope Rd., expressed concern about the petition that was shopped around the neighborhood this weekend. Ms. Johnson was told the petition was in favor of the Sports Court, and she signed it under the guise. Unfortunately, Ms. Johnson later discovered the petition was in fact against the Sports Court.

Jody McAuley stated it was unfortunate that occurred, but that residents can send around what they would like.

David Wright, 52 Rialto Rd., asked if the meeting was being recorded and if the meeting had been called to order. Mr. Wright also questioned if the comments would have any effect on the Board's decision. Jody McAuley stated the Homeowner Forum was recorded; however, the forum was not part of the formal meeting. Mr. Wright noted he had a physical copy of his letter to hand into the Board. An electronic copy was sent in earlier that day.

Robert Lilly, 76 Sowell St., asked David Wright to read the letter he had submitted to the Board earlier that day.

David Wright, 52 Rialto Rd., stated he wrote the letter to not address whether the Board had the right to build it, but rather to encourage the Board to consider everything that building the court meant for the community as a whole. Mr. Wright read the following statement:

"The purpose of this letter is to respectfully set forth concerns about the proposed Sports Complex (the "Complex"), which has become the subject of controversy in our I'On Community, that will be constructed and operated at the sole cost and expense of the I'On Community. These concerns specifically address the ongoing operations of the Complex if built and it becomes operational. These concerns, while not exhaustive, are as follow: 1. Will access be limited to I'On residents, i.e. home owners and renters? 2. How will access to the Complex be controlled? 3. Who is responsible for controlling access to the Complex? 4. Will there be controlled access to ensure that only I'On residents are users of the Complex? For example, will there be an attendant on duty during the hours of operation? If so, who will pay for the attendant(s)? 5. Who will, daily, ensure that the Complex is clean, functional and in good repair? 6. Will the Complex be fenced and gated? 7. Who is responsible for scheduling access to the facilities within the Complex? 8. How will scheduling be accomplished? 9. How will scheduling disputes be adjudicated? 10. How will interlopers be ejected from the Complex, and who will perform this function? 11. Has the Board prepared an operating budget for the Complex in addition to the Capital budget therefor? 12. Has consideration been given to parking in the vicinity of the proposed complex? It is currently highly limited. 13. With the increased number of vehicles near the Complex, how will the traffic flow from Mathis Ferry into our Community be impacted? Are there any plans for alleviating congestion in the area? 14. What is the Board's and the Communities liability for injuries and accidents incurred at the Complex? Is this liability insurable? At what cost? I would submit that the

construction of the Complex is, in and of itself, a well-intended undertaking that may benefit perhaps 50% of our Community. Once constructed and operational, unless serious attention and planning is given to the concerns set forth hereinabove, it could well prove to be a never-ending highly contentious and costly nightmare for 100% of our Community.”

Steve Reydel, 59 Eastlake Rd., agreed with much of Mr. Wright’s letter and inquired if the Sports Court would be lit at night and if there would be the possibility of it being lit in the future. Mr. Reydel also agreed if the survey was done now with all the information we now have, there would be a much better understanding for residents to decide if they wanted a Sports Court.

Michelle Sinkler, 10 Saturday Rd., read the Sports Court Description from the Amenities Survey.

“The SPORTS COURT would bring a new amenity to our neighborhood and encourage more outdoor activity for all ages, but particularly for ages 10+. Benefits Active outdoor amenity for all ages Suitable for individuals or small groups May reduce proliferation of individual mobile basketball goals Location Toward the Mathis Ferry end of the Eastlake Road recreation field. Will NOT encroach on the soccer field. Will not be near houses. Design Elements Approximately 54 feet by 54 feet Half-court basketball court with fixed 10' goal, sports surface marked with official lane, three-point and free throw lines "Sports wall" to practice lacrosse, soccer, tennis, etc. Landscaping and/or fencing to minimize visual impact for residents Appropriate screening from Mathis Ferry Road No grand trees to be affected No artificial lighting Open dawn to dusk only Open to I'On residents only and governed by the same rules as the adjacent soccer field Estimated Cost Design and installation: \$20,000 to \$30,000.”

Max Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd., believes the Amenities Survey was portrayed as just concepts and not a mandate. Mr. Steinhardt questioned what the process would be and how homeowners could be more involved.

Jody McAuley stated the Sports Court Subcommittee has been working on this project for a year. Jody McAuley noted there were folks both for and against the Sports Court on the subcommittee. This was to ensure that concerns were addressed. The response in the survey was overwhelming for this. The Board has been doing its due diligence for the last year.

Skip Runge, 19 Eastlake Rd., stated when the transfer fee amendment was proposed in 2008, he believed it was to fund Reserves so the Annual Assessment would not go up. The focus was to build up Reserves in case of a storm or hurricane. Mr. Runge also noted there was no push in the neighborhood for amenities until the "Creek Club fiasco." Mr. Runge expressed concern that a Sports Court would bring in safety and traffic issues. Mr. Runge also expressed concern that the Annual Assessment had risen in that last few years, but there is allegedly a surplus. Mr. Runge feels residents aren't being listened to and that the Board was in a rush.

Marilyn Colen, 33 Frogmore Rd., stated there were many households on the Change.org petition. Mrs. Colen felt the number of households that signed should be enough to halt progress on the Sports Court. Mrs. Colen questioned whether or not the Board viewed the petition as valid.

Matt Walsh stated the Board considers all the information they are given. However, Matt Walsh holds some concern that the petition was misleading and disingenuous. Jody McAuley added the Board was presented the change.org petition and had reviewed all the comments.

Amanda Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd., asked what the cost estimate would be and what percent of the excess funds that represented. Matt Walsh responded the court alone would cost \$20,000-\$30,000, but with all other costs added, it would be approximately \$50,000.

David Wright, 52 Rialto Rd., asked what the Operating budget would be for the Sports Court. Matt Walsh stated there was not an Operating budget for the Sports Court as there were no items for that budget.

Amanda Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd., added an inquiry about the maintenance costs. Mrs. Steinhardt noted there was already much maintenance needed in the neighborhood.

Jody McAuley stated financials were a large part of the due diligence process. The Board had worked with both the Sports court subcommittee and the Finance committee to ensure this project was fiscally viable.

Amanda Steinhardt, 66 Hopetown Rd., expressed concern about the survey being considered a mandate. Mrs. Steinhardt noted that at the time of the survey she owned 2 properties but had only responded one.

Rick McNaughton, 137 Jake's Ln., asked how much had been spent to date on this project and what materials had been ordered.

Matt Walsh stated the 2016 Board had engaged Earthsource Engineering to take the project from design to permitting, if approved. The contract is worth about \$7,500 and approximately 50% of that had been spent already. Nothing had been ordered and nothing had been spent on the project aside from the approximately \$3750 on the Earthsource Engineering contract.

Mark Maguire, 23 Unwin Way, voiced concern the contract was through permitting. Matt Walsh clarified this was only if the project was approved would Earthsource do permitting work.

Will Keefer, 69 Saturday Rd, spoke in favor of the Sports Court. Mr. Keefer felt it was a positive addition to the neighborhood, noting the children on his street, himself included, often played basketball in the alley. This is not a situation he felt was safe as many cars come through that area very fast.

Sophie Stuchlak, 28 Prescient St., spoke against the Sports court. Miss. Stuchlak felt the traffic was far too heavy in that area and a ball could potentially damage property or cause a child to run into the road. Miss. Stuchlak also voiced concern that the Sports Court was not easily seen from Eastlake Rd., so youths could potentially go there and cause trouble.

Francine Christiansen, 59 Eastlake Rd., stated she was more concerned with the precedent this set than she was about the Sports Court. Mrs. Christiansen urged the Board to do another survey with more information. Mrs. Christiansen felt many of the opponents to the Sports Court would be less combative if they knew a majority of residents wanted the Sports Court after receiving all the information.

Clayton Taylor, 56 Eastlake Rd., stated he was one of the original residents of l'On. Mr. Taylor does not believe the process should be rushed and feels the Board must be cautious and thoughtful. Mr. Taylor believes a project timeline and full costs should be presented to the Community and then the community can vote.

Steve Reydel, 37 Eastlake Rd., stated the Sports Court was to be talked about at the Annual Meeting but it wasn't. Jody McAuley stated Michelle Sinkler, co-chair of the Sports Court subcommittee, had attended the Annual Meeting

with the schematics and it was announced she would go over the design and answer questions from any residents.

Eleanor Chambliss, 80 Sowell St., said her concern that the neighborhood was not living up to the standard it should. Ms. Chambliss felt that the Board needed to make I'On what it was supposed to be, citing the landscaping company as a place to begin.

Skip Runge, 19 Eastlake Rd., asked how the Board knew there were surplus funds for this project.

Jody McAuley informed the residents there is a Reserve Study done every few years that maps out large maintenance projects for the next 30 years. The Finance Committee then matches that study to what we take in. There is an executive dashboard put out in almost every Board packet that outlines how much money is in the Reserves. The excess funds are from transfer fees going above the needed funding amount.

Ron Follmann, 93 N. Shelmore Blvd., believes the issue of the Sports Court comes down to the fiduciary duty of the Board. Mr. Follmann believes the Replacement Reserves should be funded at 150% of what the Reserves Study recommends as I'On sits in an earthquake, hurricane, and flood zone. There should not be a Capital Projects fund rather that money should go into the Reserves according to Mr. Follmann. A well-funded Reserve can be a wonderful selling point for realtors and a safeguard against special assessments. The 2nd highest priority behind overfunding the Reserves should be reducing the HOA fees. Mr. Follmann also believes the Board has the duty to act within the scope of their authority and Mr. Follmann feels this is beyond that scope. Mr. Follmann referenced the legal opinion letter issued by the Assembly's legal counsel (included in the January 2017 Board Minutes) regarding the Board's authority to add amenities. Mr. Follmann believes this opinion is incomplete as it does not examine all contracts that may contain restrictions and all the instruments of conveyance. A Board member would not go off of this opinion if they were exercising their fiduciary duty according to Mr. Follmann. Mr. Follmann believes the Board has no authority to change green spaces as representations were made when folks purchased their lots. Mr. Follmann referenced the property report he was given when he purchased his lots, noting he had sent a copy to the Board. In his property report, the I'On Company agrees to complete a list of amenities including the boathouse, trails,

walkways, and Eastlake by November 1999. After these were completed, they were to be turned over to the I'On Assembly, which would then be responsible for operations and maintenance. Mr. Follmann noted the Covenants, Codes, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) state what an HOA can and cannot do. Mr. Follmann believes fiduciary authority must be granted within the documents and not given because the documents do not explicitly state the Board does not have the authority. Mr. Follmann then presented the Board with a petition to call a special meeting to review a "Titleholder's Protection Amendment."

Janet Stuchlak, 28 Prescient St., asked if the Board still intended to vote on the Sports Court that evening.

Jody McAuley stated the Board can put forth the motion if they want to. Matt Walsh stated he did not intend to make a motion based on information received that evening.

Call to Order: 7:37 pm by Jody McAuley.

Approval of Minutes:

Motion to approve the January 31, 2017 I'On Assembly Meeting Minutes. Motion Seconded. All in favor. Motion passed unanimously.

Presidents Report:

Motion to approve the Easement Termination Agreement between the I'On Club and the I'On Assembly. Motion Seconded. All in favor. Motion passed 8-0-0. Chad Besenfelder recused himself from the vote due to a conflict of interest.

Motion to approve the Easement for Boating Facilities between Olde Park and the I'On Assembly. Motion Seconded. All in favor. Motion passed 8-0-0. Chad Besenfelder recused himself from the vote due to a conflict of interest.

Mike Parades asked if Shawn Willis would be recording the easements. Shawn Willis confirmed he would.

Amenities Report

Matt Walsh stated he would not put forth a motion to vote on the Sports Court this evening. Karen Dillard asked if Matt Walsh felt something had been brought forward this evening that had not be voiced previously. Matt Walsh stated he was concerned about the process. While Matt Walsh does not feel comfortable with the precedent that would be set by a referendum on the

issue, he did note there are 3 competing projects for a limited pool of money. These projects must be prioritized, but how does the Board involve residents in that process without setting a negative precedent. Matt Walsh feels the Board should potentially go back to the membership via another survey to confirm the membership's desire for these projects. Jody McAuley asked that the subcommittee follow up on some of the issues that were raised that evening.

Matt Walsh asked that the bids be added into the package for Board Minutes (see attached).

Matt Walsh noted the Docks subcommittee had met and decided there would be no fee for boaters registering for a decal only. There are many boaters who do not need a key to the ramp and do not register because they do not want to pay for a key they don't use. This would be a test year for this program. The registration fee will stay at \$20.00 for 2017. The Docks subcommittee also discussed dock monitoring and the potential to hire someone on the weekend for the busy season. Matt Walsh will work with Paul Gillis, Treasurer, to find out if this is feasible.

Michael Purcell asked there was a packet of information with rules and how to dock the boat. Mike Parades stated they received the rules and the office would hand out information if someone could create it.

Matt Walsh presented the Board with the proposal to add freshwater to the docks. Many boaters are in favor of adding the water. The cost estimate is \$7,000 to \$8,000, mostly for the water meter.

Motion to install water to the floating dock not to exceed \$8,000 funded from the Capital Projects fund. All in favor. Motion passed unanimously.

General Manager Report

Mike Parades informed the Board that Westlake Path is now closed for a brief period. There will be a General Manager update going out regarding that closure and some other minor reminders. Jody McAuley stated he was in favor of the decision to close the path as it was the best course of action to avoid damage or injury. Lori Bate stated the Board should work to apply more pressure to the homeowner to put up scaffolding or netting so the path could

reopen as soon as possible. Michael Purcell asked if a fence more in line with l'On would help mitigate the issue. The Board did not think that would help.

Mike Parades asked if Shawn Willis had issued a legal opinion about the matter. Jody McAuley confirmed that Shawn Willis had. Michael Purcell informed the Board that he would continue to monitor the situation closely.

Mike Parades informed the Board there were 5 delinquent titleholders for the 2017 Annual Assessment. Late charges are being applied.

Landscape and Infrastructure Report

Motion to approve 2017 Reserve Projects as listed in Appendix A to be funded from Reserves. All in favor. Motion passed unanimously.

IDC Liaison Report

Lori Bate informed the Board that IDC member, Steve Degnen, had created an IDC handout to go out with Ambassadors when welcoming new neighbors. Karen Dillard asked that the handout include the IDC logo. Lori Bate said she would confirm that it did.

Communications Committee:

Karen Dillard brought up the gap between Board Meetings and when the minutes are published. To help, the Communications Committee created a summary email blast to sent from the Board President following the meeting. Matt Walsh asked if it was possible to send out minutes sooner, perhaps approve them via email. Mike Parades informed the Board that used to be the process. Once notes were typed up and edited, the Board would give preliminary approval and the minutes would be posted on the website as a draft. However, we are trying our best to get them out as soon as possible, but we do not like to post them as draft and instead will get final minutes out as soon as we can.

Finally, Karen Dillard informed the Board the Communications Committee was working to redesign the website to be more user friendly.

There being no further business to come before the Board of Trustees, the meeting adjourned at 7:58 pm.

Respectfully submitted by _____